



The Role of Wearable Technology in Improving Patient Outcomes in Chronic Disease Management

¹Hadiza Tijjani Isa*, ²Musa Abubakar Yunus

1. Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, University of Maiduguri, P.O. Box 1069, Maiduguri, Nigeria
2. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of life Sciences, University of Maiduguri, P.O. Box 1069, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Correspondence: hadizatijjani1221@gmail.com

Abstract

The increasing prevalence of chronic diseases and the demand for cost-effective healthcare have accelerated the adoption of remote patient monitoring (RPM) technologies. Wearable devices enable real-time collection of physiological data, enhancing early detection of clinical deterioration and supporting patient-centered care. This study is a narrative review that synthesizes evidence from recent literature on wearable technology and RPM, highlighting clinical applications, technological integration, benefits, challenges, ethical considerations, and future directions. Findings indicate that wearable RPM improves clinical outcomes, enhances patient engagement, and improved self-management in chronic disease care. However, challenges remain regarding interoperability; device validation, workflow integration, and data privacy. This paper contributes to existing literature by integrating technology, clinical, and implementation perspectives and by applying the technology acceptance model (TAM) and the chronic care model (CCM) as a conceptual framework to guide wearable RPM adoption. Recommendations for policy, clinical practice, research, and technology standardization are proposed.

Keywords: Wearable devices, Remote patient monitoring, Telehealth, Patient outcomes, Digital health

INTRODUCTION

The increasing prevalence of chronic diseases, aging populations, and the demand for cost-effective healthcare has accelerated the global adoption of remote patient monitoring (RPM) technologies. RPM is a key component of mobile health (mHealth) and telemedicine, enabling the continuous assessment of vital signs and early detection of clinical deterioration outside traditional hospital settings (Arun *et al.*, 2024). By leveraging wearable devices, clinicians can obtain real-time physiological data such as heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, temperature, and electrocardiographic signals, thereby support timely interventions and reduce unnecessary hospital admissions. Systematic reviews report that RPM interventions can improve safety, adherence, and some clinical and cost-related outcomes when used during transitions from inpatient to home-based care (Tan *et al.*, 2024).

Wearable devices serve as a cornerstone technology for modern RPM systems by enabling continuous, non-invasive capture of physiological signals such as heart rate, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, and temperature, ECG, PPG, and accelerometer data. Reviews emphasize key priorities for clinical adoption: measurement accuracy, usability and comfort, and secure data transmission (Samadbeik *et al.*, 2024). Unlike conventional monitoring, which is limited to clinical environments, wearables extend care to homes, nursing facilities, and ambulatory patients, thereby enhancing accessibility and patient-centered care (Tamilselvan, 2017). Integrating Internet of Things (IoT) platforms further supports secure transmission of patient data to cloud-based systems for remote analysis. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of wearable-based RPM systems. For example, the RemoteHealthConnect system integrated the Vitaliti™ wearable with custom dashboards to provide

comprehensive visualization of vital signs, yielding high usability scores among healthcare practitioners (Arun *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, Raspberry Pi-based monitoring systems incorporating biomedical sensors for Electrocardiogram, blood pressure, and temperature have shown feasibility for low-cost, scalable, and IoT-enabled RPM in both home and hospital contexts (Tamilselvan, 2017). These findings underscore the potential of wearables not only to improve early detection of adverse health events but also to optimize resource allocation and support chronic disease management.

Despite these advances, challenges persist regarding accuracy, integration, patient compliance, and data privacy. Many systems remain limited for single-condition monitoring, absence of comprehensive visualization capabilities, or face limitations in usability and integration into clinical workflows (Arun *et al.*, 2024). Furthermore, regulatory compliance and secure management of sensitive health data remain critical concerns. Although prior studies have explored wearable technologies and RPM applications, much of the literature remains fragmented across technological, clinical and implementation domain.

Therefore, this paper aims to synthesize existing evidence on wearable technology in RPM, by integrating technological developments, clinical applications, and implementation considerations. This study contributes to the literature by providing a consolidated perspective that bridges device innovation, clinical usability, and healthcare system integration to guide scalable and patient-centered adoption of wearable RPM systems.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a narrative review design to synthesize existing evidence on wearable technologies in Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM) for chronic disease management.

A structured literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Studies published between 2017 and 2025 were considered. Search terms included combinations of “wearable devices,” “remote patient monitoring,” “RPM,” “telehealth,” “artificial intelligence,” and “chronic disease management.”

Inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed empirical studies, systematic reviews, and validated prototype evaluations focusing on wearable-based RPM systems for clinical monitoring. Studies addressing multi-parameter physiological monitoring such as ECG, heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, temperature, and activity tracking were prioritized.

Exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed publications, editorials without empirical basis, and studies not directly related to wearable-enabled RPM applications.

Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance, followed by full-text assessment. Selected studies were synthesized thematically, focusing on technological development, clinical application, implementation challenges, and conceptual frameworks guiding adoption.

REVIEW

Wearable Devices

Wearable devices are compact, non-invasive technologies designed to continuously monitor physiological parameters and provide real-time health data to patients and clinicians (Arun *et al.*, 2024; Bokhari *et al.*, 2025). These devices capture vital signs such as heart rate, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and body temperature, in addition to waveform data including electrocardiogram, photoplethysmography, and accelerometer readings. Recent advancements integrate multiple sensors into a single device, enabling simultaneous cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic monitoring (Gaoudam *et al.*, 2023; Reddy & Chawla, 2023). While this multi-parameter capability enhances early detection of clinical deterioration and supports personalized treatment planning, differences in sensor accuracy and validation standards across devices may influence reliability in real-world clinical settings.

Integration with cloud computing, Integration with cloud computing, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence (AI) has further strengthened wearable functionality (Bokhari *et al.*, 2025; Shiraishi & Yamano, 2023). AI algorithms facilitate arrhythmia detection and exacerbation prediction; however, large-scale multicenter validation remains limited in many reported systems.

Although wearable devices enhance patient engagement and adherence (Lewczak & Mitchell, 2022), challenges such as battery life, interoperability, user compliance, and long-term validation persist (Tamilselvan, 2017; Majumder *et al.*, 2017; Samadbeik *et al.*, 2024). Thus, while technological feasibility is well documented, sustained implementation within routine clinical workflows requires further empirical evaluation.

Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM)

RPM enables continuous observation of patients outside hospital environments through integration of wearable sensors, IoT devices, and cloud-based systems (Majumder *et al.*, 2017). Evidence indicates improved early detection of clinical deterioration and reduced hospital utilization, particularly during care transitions (Tan *et al.*, 2024).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, RPM demonstrated effectiveness in reducing hospital congestion and maintaining continuity of care (Ismail *et al.*, 2021).

However, although feasibility and short-term effectiveness are frequently reported, scalability and long-term cost-effectiveness remain insufficiently examined across diverse healthcare systems.

Security concerns are also prominent. Srivastava *et al.*, (2018) proposed blockchain-enabled frameworks to address privacy and scalability limitations. While technically promising, integration of such frameworks into mainstream healthcare infrastructure remains underexplored.

Telehealth versus remote patient monitoring

Telehealth encompasses telecommunications-based healthcare delivery, including video consultations and electronic communication (Majumder *et al.*, 2017). In contrast, RPM focuses on automated, continuous physiological monitoring (Arun *et al.*, 2024).

The key distinction lies in data continuity. RPM provides real-time monitoring and automated alerts (Tan *et al.*, 2024), whereas telehealth typically relies on scheduled interactions.

Although integration of RPM with telehealth platforms enhances care coordination (Gaoudam *et al.*, 2023; Reddy & Chawla, 2023), interoperability barriers and workflow adaptation challenges persist (Samadbeik *et*

al., 2024). Therefore, while conceptual synergy exists, practical integration requires further optimization.

Technology acceptance model (TAM) and chronic care model (CCM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) explains technology adoption through perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Davis, 1989). Evidence suggests that usability, comfort, and seamless integration enhance adherence to wearable RPM systems (Majumder *et al.*, 2017; Samadbeik *et al.*, 2024).

The Chronic Care Model (CCM) emphasizes proactive, patient-centered management (Lewczak & Mitchell, 2022). Wearable RPM systems align with CCM by facilitating continuous monitoring, self-management, and AI-driven decision support (Shiraishi & Yamano, 2023; Reddy & Chawla, 2023).

However, despite theoretical alignment, empirical studies rarely integrate TAM and CCM simultaneously when evaluating RPM implementation outcomes.

Integration of TAM and CCM

These models, together, provide a conceptual foundation for understanding wearable RPM adoption and effectiveness. TAM focuses on user behavior and technology acceptance, while CCM highlights the organizational and clinical context necessary for successful implementation. Applying these frameworks helps guide the design, evaluation, and scaling of RPM systems, ensuring both technological feasibility and clinical utility. Several empirical studies have explored the applications, opportunities, and challenges of RPM across various healthcare settings:

In a study conducted by Srivastava *et al.*, (2018), proposed a block chain-enabled RPM system using the GHOSTDAG protocol to address privacy and expandability concerns. Their system integrated wearable IoT devices with private and public blockchains, allowing secure data transactions while maintaining compliance with data protection regulations.

According to Majumder *et al.*, (2017) highlighted a comprehensive review of wearable sensor-based systems, including textile-based electrodes and MEMS devices. Their findings highlighted the role of non-invasive sensors in monitoring ECG, heart rate, and motion activities. They emphasized challenges such as

motion artifacts, limited battery life, and the need for ergonomic design to ensure long-term patient adherence. However, Ismail *et al.*, (2021), Developed a wearable RPM prototype during the COVID-19 pandemic that integrated ECG, heartbeat, and temperature sensors with a GPS location tracker and MySQL database. Their system successfully transmitted real-time data to healthcare providers and incorporated user authentication for advanced privacy. Validation against a standard OMRON device showed minimal error rates (<5.3%), confirming the accuracy of the system.

Critical Synthesis of Current Evidence

Existing literature demonstrates substantial progress in wearable-enabled RPM, particularly in cardiovascular monitoring and AI integration. Strengths include improved early detection of deterioration, enhanced patient engagement, and feasibility in both high-resource and resource-limited settings (Arun *et al.*, 2024; Tamilselvan, 2017).

Nevertheless, several limitations are evident. Many studies involve pilot-scale evaluations with limited sample sizes. Validation standards vary, interoperability challenges remain unresolved, and multi-condition scalability is underreported. Furthermore, economic evaluations and long-term outcome assessments are limited.

A notable gap is the insufficient integration of technological innovation with healthcare implementation frameworks. While technical feasibility is widely reported, fewer studies examine sustained workflow integration, clinician training, regulatory harmonization, and long-term adherence. Addressing these gaps is essential for translating wearable RPM systems from promising prototypes to scale healthcare solutions.

CLINICAL APPLICATIONS OF WEARABLE TECHNOLOGY IN RPM

Wearable RPM systems demonstrate measurable clinical impact across multiple healthcare domains, particularly in chronic disease management, early detection of deterioration, and reduction of healthcare utilization.

Cardiac Monitoring

Continuous electrocardiogram (ECG) and heart rate monitoring enable early detection of arrhythmias and other cardiac abnormalities, facilitating timely intervention and reducing preventable hospital admissions (Bokhari *et al.*, 2025; Gaoudam *et al.*, 2023). Studies report improvements in early arrhythmia identification and enhanced clinical responsiveness, which contribute to reduced emergency visits and improved cardiovascular risk management. Compared with intermittent clinical monitoring, continuous wearable-based surveillance enhances diagnostic yield and supports proactive care delivery.

Gastroenterology

In gastroenterology, wearable devices monitor gastrointestinal symptoms, hydration status, and physical activity, supporting management of chronic gastrointestinal disorders (Reddy & Chawla, 2023). Although evidence primarily highlights feasibility and symptom tracking accuracy, integration with clinical decision-making platforms has been associated with improved symptom control and adherence to treatment regimens. However, standardized outcome metrics for long-term gastrointestinal monitoring remain limited.

Neurological Disorders

In Parkinson's disease, wearable sensors track tremor patterns, motor fluctuations, and medication adherence, enabling personalized home-based management (Shiraishi & Yamano, 2023). Compared with conventional clinic-based assessment, continuous monitoring improves detection of motor variability and enhances medication titration accuracy. This contributes to improved symptom stability and potentially reduced hospital-based evaluations.

Pandemic Response

During the COVID-19 pandemic, RPM enabled remote monitoring of infected patients, reducing hospital congestion and minimizing infection risk (Ramezani *et al.*, 2021). Reported outcomes included decreased unnecessary hospital visits and improved triage efficiency. Wearable-enabled monitoring supported continuity of care while preserving healthcare resources during crisis conditions.

Chronic Disease Self-Management

Wearable technologies enhance patient engagement in chronic conditions such as diabetes and hypertension by supporting continuous self-monitoring and real-time feedback (Lewczak & Mitchell, 2022). Evidence suggests improvements in treatment adherence, behavioral modification, and patient activation. In some contexts, RPM implementation has been associated with reductions in hospital readmissions and improved disease control indicators. However, long-term cost-effectiveness and mortality impact remain underreported in existing literature.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Research Priorities

The future research should focus on integrating the use of AI for predictive analytics and personalized care, Standardization for device interoperability across platforms, Large-scale clinical validation studies and Expansion to underserved or remote populations to improve equity in healthcare. There is also need to develop standardized regulatory frameworks and reimbursement policies for RPM adoption. Clinical Practice also needs to integrate wearable RPM systems into clinical workflows, with training for clinicians, research need to be conducted longitudinally and multi-condition studies to evaluate effectiveness and scalability. Technology should focus on interoperable, validated, user-friendly, and secure devices.

Clinical Practice

In clinical settings, successful RPM implementation requires integration into existing healthcare workflows. This includes structured clinician training programs to enhance data interpretation, digital literacy, and decision-making based on continuous monitoring outputs.

Healthcare institutions must also develop standardized protocols for data management, alert response systems, and interdisciplinary coordination to ensure that wearable-generated data translate into meaningful clinical action.

Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

Sustainable adoption of RPM depends on the development of standardized regulatory frameworks and reimbursement policies. Clear guidelines for

device validation, data security, and interoperability standards are essential to ensure patient safety and system reliability.

Policymakers should also address equitable access, ensuring that digital health innovations do not widen disparities between high-resource and low-resource settings.

Technology Development

Technological innovation should focus on developing interoperable, validated, user-friendly, and secure devices. Standardization across platforms is necessary to enable seamless data exchange between wearable systems and electronic health records.

Improved battery efficiency, enhanced sensor accuracy, and robust cybersecurity mechanisms remain critical areas for continued technological advancement.

CONCLUSION

Wearable-enabled Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM) has emerged as a critical strategy for strengthening chronic disease management beyond traditional care settings. The evidence reviewed demonstrates measurable benefits in early detection of clinical deterioration, patient engagement, and healthcare utilization, while also revealing persistent limitations related to device validation, interoperability, workflow integration, and data governance.

This narrative review contributes by systematically synthesizing recent literature (2017–2025) to bridge technological innovation with clinical implementation realities. By comparatively examining validated devices, IoT-enabled infrastructures, usability factors, and emerging AI integration, the paper identifies key gaps that constrain scalability and highlights priority directions for research, practice, policy, and technology development. Together, these insights position wearable RPM not merely as a technological advancement, but as a strategically evolving component of sustainable digital healthcare systems.

REFERENCES

Arun, S., Sykes, K., & Tanbeer, M. (2024). Remote patient monitoring and wearable devices: Impact on chronic disease management. *Digital*

- Health*, 10, 20552076241300748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076241300748>
- Bokhari, S. F. H., Waseem, A. B., Raza, H., Iqbal, A., Javaid, S., Idrees, B., & Dost, W. (2025). Advancing cardiac arrhythmia management: The integration of wearable technology and remote monitoring. *World Journal of Cardiology*, 17(7), 106841. <https://doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v17.i7.106841>
- Gaoudam, N., Sakhamudi, S. K., Kamal, B., Addla, N., Reddy, E. P., Ambala, M., & Medabala, T. (2024). Wearable devices and AI-driven remote monitoring in cardiovascular medicine: A narrative review. *Cureus*, 16(9), e68921. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.68921>
- Ismail, M., Khan, R., & Patel, S. (2021). Remote patient monitoring for global emergencies: Case study in patients with COVID-19. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 149, 104414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104414>
- Lewczak, Z., & Mitchell, M. (2024). Wearable technology and chronic illness: Balancing justice and care ethics. *Cureus*, 16(11), e73686. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.73686>
- Majumder, S., Mondal, T., & Deen, M. J. (2017). Wearable sensors for remote health monitoring. *Sensors*, 17(1), 130. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17010130>
- McGillion, M. H., Dvirnik, N., Yang, S., Belley-Côté, E., Lamy, A., Whitlock, R., & Devereaux, P. J. (2022). Continuous noninvasive remote automated blood pressure monitoring with novel wearable technology: A preliminary validation study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 10(2), e24916. <https://doi.org/10.2196/24916>
- Ramezani, R., Zhang, W., Cao, M., Bui, A., Petruse, A., Weldon, A., & Naeim, A. (2025). Remote patient monitoring for global emergencies: Case study in patients with COVID-19. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.2196/66773>
- Reddy, K. D., & Chawla, S. (2025). Wearable technology in gastroenterology: Current applications and future directions. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 14(7), 2403. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14072403>
- Samadbeik, M., Gorzin, F., & Maleki, M. (2024). Challenges and strategies for integrating wearable RPM data into clinical workflows. *Journal of Health Informatics Research*, 8(2), 112–128. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3636534.3698118>
- Shiraishi, M., & Yamano, Y. (2025). Frontiers in digital technology for remote monitoring and home management in Parkinson's disease. *Brain and Nerve*, 77(9), 975–980. <https://doi.org/10.11477/mf.188160960770090975>
- Srivastava, S., Dwivedi, A., & Singh, P. (2018). Blockchain-enabled frameworks for secure and scalable remote patient monitoring. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 96, 185–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2018.03.017>
- Tamilselvan, P. (2017). A wearable remote patient monitoring system using Raspberry Pi. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 5(17).* <https://www.ijert.org/a-wearable-remote-patient-monitoring-system-using-raspberry-pi>
- Tan, S. Y., Sumner, J., Wang, R., & Yip, J. (2024). A systematic review of the impacts of remote patient monitoring (RPM) interventions on safety, adherence, quality-of-life and cost-related outcomes. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 7, 192. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01182-w>